

REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuo.

Amendments to Specification

Applicant has amended the specification as noted above to remove the references cited. No "new matter" has been added to the original disclosure by the foregoing amendments to the specification.

Drawings

It is noted that no Patent Drawing Review (Form PTO-948) was received with the outstanding Office Action. Thus, Applicant must assume that the drawings are acceptable as filed.

New Claims

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1-5 and has added new claims 6-9 to this application. It is believed that the new claims specifically set forth each element of Applicant's invention in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112, and define subject matter that is patentably distinguishable over the cited prior art.

The new claims are directed toward a heat sink assembly comprising: a base portion (1) having a heat dissipating part (2) extending across a length thereof, the heat dissipating part having two inclined planes (22) and a platform (21) located between the two inclined planes; and a plurality of heat sinks (3) spaced apart an equal distance, each of the plurality of heat sinks extending across the two inclined planes and the platform.

Other embodiments of the present invention include: a frame (4) connected to the plurality of heat sinks; the frame includes a fan (41); and the base portion is located in a central portion of each of the plurality of heat sinks.

The cited reference to Kuo teaches a base having two inclined top surfaces (13) and a groove (11) located therebetween. A plurality of heat dispensing plates (12) extend from each of the two inclined top surfaces (13).

Kuo does not teach each of the plurality of heat sinks extending across the two inclined planes and the platform; nor does Kuo teach the base portion is located in a central portion of each of the plurality of heat sinks.

It is axiomatic in U.S. patent law that, in order for a reference to anticipate a claimed structure, it must clearly disclose each and every feature of the claimed structure. Applicant submits that it is abundantly clear, as discussed above, that Kuo does not disclose each and every feature of Applicant's new claims and, therefore, could not possibly anticipate these claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Absent a specific showing of these features, Kuo cannot be said to anticipate any of Applicant's new claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

It is further submitted that Kuo does not disclose, or suggest any modification of the specifically disclosed structures that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at Applicant's claimed structure. Thus, it is not believed that Kuo renders obvious any of Applicant's new claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 17, 2004

By:


Bruce H. Troxell
Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404
Falls Church, Virginia 22041
Telephone: 703 575-2711
Telefax: 703 575-2707